

#### STI 2017 - Science, Technology and Innovation indicators

Open indicators: innovation, participation and actor-based STI indicators

Paris, 6 – 8 September 2017

## Moving or remaining: international mobility and careers of PhD holders in Social Sciences and Humanities

L. Morettini, E. Reale, A. Zinilli

## **CONTENTS**

- Aim of the work;
- Background;
- Theoretical framework and main assumptions;
- Methodology;
- Results;
- Conclusions;



#### **BACKGROUND**

- European labour market is experiencing an increasing pressure towards international experience and mobility. (Auriol, 2010).
- Recent literature on the determinants of international mobility has considered several items on the list of explanatory variables including the economic advantages, improvement of working conditions and international educational mobility (Waibel et al. 2017).
- Choices made in the early steps of career condition the ranks of opportunities within which develops the hereinafter of PhDs' career (Enders, 2002; Steijn et al., 2006).





We want to deepen the capability of choices made at an early stage are influential factors also for international mobility.

The aim of this analysis is to investigate whether the mobility during the studies and before the very early stage of career influence the *international mobility* of a PhD in Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH) during the rest of her/his career.



### **THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

- According to human capital theories participation in international programs for students helps to improve some skills (like languages and adaptability to different contexts) that reduces difficulties in international mobility during the working life (Parey and Waldinger, 2011; Di Pietro, 2012).
- Literature highlights as international mobility allows to create a network of contacts and those ties are decisive in the international mobility after the end of the studies, especially for PhDs students (Franzoni et al., 2012; Cantwell 2011).



### THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

- The reasons for the permanence abroad of workers, and researchers in particular, are still little explored but several contributions have shown that this is more likely to occur if researchers are already working in a country other than the homeland and if a large part of the their activities involve collaborations with researchers from other countries (Scellato et al., 2015; Mugabushaka et al., 2013; Gaulè, 2014)
- Mobility implies an idiosyncratic cost in terms of radical change in habits, interpersonal relations and management of a re-location in a different place: this cost is more difficult to sustain the first time that occurs while it becomes relatively easier to handle in the subsequent changes of location (Braun, 2012).



#### INTERNATIONAL MOBILITY

To operationalize international mobility we consider three groups of doctorate holders:

- PhDs which always work in the same country during their working career (Stayer workers).
- PhDs whose career is started and finished in the same countries but has had intermediate steps in other countries (Convergent workers).
- PhDs whose career starts and finishes in different countries (Divergent workers).
- Both Convergent and Divergent are international mobile PhDs.



#### **HYPOTHESES**

Hp1 – International mobility in working career is related with mobility during the course of studies (between Master and PhD)

Hp2 – Workers are more likely to be divergent if they start their career in a country other than that in which they completed PhD studies. Divergent effects are stronger for PhD holders in academia

Why are these hypotheses relevant?

understanding conditions affecting international mobility of PhDs in SSH, especially in academic careers

## DATA DESCRIPTION

The analysis relies on the survey data developed by the POCARIM project funded by EUFP7, which investigates career opportunities, mobility and impact of PhD holders in the SSH.

Data refer to PhD graduates between 2000 and 2011 in thirteen European countries (UK, ES, IT, HU, LV, FR, CH, TK, DE, PL, PO, SK, NO).

The dataset collects information about 2652 PhD holders, from the graduation year to current job at the moment of the survey (2012).

For the aim of this paper, we selected only PhDs (801) who, at the time of the survey, having concluded the doctorate from three years or more, and for which complete information on the characteristics of each job is available.



# **DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS**

Explanatory variable description				
Group of feature	Variable description	Type of variable		
Studies mobility	Difference between Master Degree	Dummy variable		
First step	Country and Phd Country Difference between PhD Country and First Job Country	Dummy variable		
Personal	Gender	Dummy variable		
characteristics	Age of attainment of PhD	Continue variable		
Family conditions	Presence of a stable partner	Dummy variable		
	Presence of children	Discrete variable on three levels		
Characteristics of the study course	Disciplinary area	Discrete variable on three levels		
	Ranking of the university where the doctorates held the PhD (limited to SSH)	Discrete variable on three levels		
Career	Length	Continue variable		
National context	GERD/GDP	Continue variable		



# **DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS**

Sample composition					
	Whole sample	Of which Researchers			
Stayer	624	550			
Mover	177	160			
Of which Divergent	124	110			
Convergent	53	50			
Total	801	710			

Studies mobility and first step				
	Whole sample	Of which Researchers		
Studies mobility	58	54		
First Step	93	81		
Involved in both groups	25	24		



## <u>METHODOLOGY</u>

- Being our dependent variable categorical variables, we use logistic regressions to test our hypothesis. These models estimate the probability of being part of one of the groups represented in the dependent variable based on the characteristics outlined by the set of explanatory variables.
- The first hypothesis is tested using a Logit model: a binary logistic regression appropriate to investigate dichotomous variables
- To investigate the second hypothesis we use a Multinomial Logistic Regression, equivalent to simultaneous estimation of multiple logit models where each of the categories is compared to one selected base category.



## **RESULTS**

Logit regression to test the 1 <sup>st</sup> Hypothesis				
		Coef.	SE	
Studies mobility		0.809***	0.299	
Gender		0.318*	0.177	
PhD attainment age		-0.044**	0.018	
Career length		0.041	0.033	
PhD Area	Humanities	-0.337	0.427	
PIID Alea	Social sciences	Coef. $0.809^{***}$ $0.318^{*}$ $-0.044^{**}$ $0.041$ $0.041$ $-0.337$ $-0.484$ $-0.016$ $-0.278$ lren $-0.625^{**}$ $0.099$ $-0.121$	0.426	
	Partner	-0.016	0.220	
Family conditions	Child	Coef.   0.809***   0.318*   -0.044**   0.041   nanities   -0.337   al sciences   -0.484   ner   -0.016   d   -0.278   or more children   -0.625**   n 1 to 150   0.099   and over	0.239	
	Two or more children		0.241	
Donking	From 1 to 150	0.099	0.211	
Ranking	151 and over	-0.121	0.261	
Constant		0.277	0.763	



## **RESULTS**

Multinomial logit regression to test the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Hypothesi	is
ratino indi logit i cgi coston to test the 2 hypothesi	

		Divergent		Convergent	
		Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE
First step		2.453***	0.286	0.117	0.536
Gender		0.399*	0.232	0.256	0.299
PhD attainment age		-0.062**	0.026	-0.040	0.030
Career length		0.022	0.030	0.030	0.054
PhD Area	Humanities	-0.476	0.539	0.743	1.057
	Social sciences	-0.570	0.536	0.384	1.058
Family conditions	Partner	-0.196	0.279	0.445	0.429
	Child	-0.176	0.308	-0.073	0.408
	Two or more children	-0.726**	0.329	-0.011	0.372
GERD		0.744***	0.220	1.295***	0.263
Ranking	From 1 to 150	0.140	0.338	-0.531	0.361
	151 and over	-0.638	1.509	-0.638	1.509
Constant		-0.531	1.043	-3.706***	1.509



# **RESULTS**

#### Multinomial logit regression to test the researchers

	Divergent		Convergent	
	Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE
	2.670***	0.320	-0.150	0.655
	0.460*	0.256	0.667	1.064
PhD attainment age		0.031	-0.038	0.031
	0.020	0.049	0.013	0.058
Humanities	-0.190	0.614	0.667	1.064
Social sciences	-0.465	0.614	0.335	1.066
Partner	-0.299	0.304	0.339	0.435
Child	-0.329	0.347	-0.071	0.417
Two or more children	-0.716**	0.372	-0.078	0.393
	1.029***	0.244	1.333***	0.279
From 1 to 150	-0.379	0.320	-0.557	0.373
151 and over	-0.083	0.373	-0.645	0.490
	0.196	1.231	-3.448**	1.540
	Humanities Social sciences Partner Child Two or more children	Coefficient   2.670***   0.460*   0.460*   e   -0.096***   0.020   Humanities   -0.190   Social sciences   -0.465   Partner   -0.299   Child   -0.329   Two or more children   -0.716**   1.029***   From 1 to 150   -0.379   151 and over	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	Coefficient SE Coefficient   2.670*** 0.320 -0.150   0.460* 0.256 0.667   0 -0.096*** 0.031 -0.038   0.020 0.049 0.013   Humanities -0.190 0.614 0.667   Social sciences -0.465 0.614 0.335   Partner -0.299 0.304 0.339   Child -0.329 0.347 -0.071   Two or more children -0.716** 0.372 -0.078   1.029*** 0.244 1.333***   From 1 to 150 -0.379 0.320 -0.557   151 and over -0.083 0.373 -0.645



## <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

- International mobility in SSH is related to studies mobility
- First step influences divergent careers more than convergent careers. This effect seems to be stronger for academics
- The more PhDs hold the doctorate when they are young, the more the likelihood to have an international mobility, and to have a divergent path of career
- Presence of partner does not seem to be an obstacle for international mobility, while the presence of two or more children might require more stability. However the direction of the effect could be the other way round
- Results for GERD confirm that investment in R&D is one of the factor strongly considered in international mobility decision
- The high value of the GERD coefficient for "Convergent" indicates that national investment in R&D can create conditions for circulation of high skilled across the countries



## Open questions for further investigation

- As far as SSH doctorate holders are concerned, there are at least two results that could be relevant for future policy actions about knowledge circulation:
  - The importance of first step producing divergent effects especially visible in the case of Phds which hold the doctorate at a young age
  - Investment in R&D as a factor affecting convergent effects after a period of international mobility
- Need to investigate whether education mobility and first step have the same effects also in other fields
- The relative low relevance of Gender and the high relevance of Children need more investigation to combine the two variables



#### THANK YOU FOR LISTENING!

