A large scale comparison of the position of countries in international collaboration and mobility according to their scientific capacities

Zaida Chinchilla-Rodríguez, Lili Miao, Dakota Murray, Nicolás Robinson-García, Rodrigo Costas and Cassidy R. Sugimoto

STI 2017, 6-8 September, Paris, France











#### **Research Agenda**

## What is the Relationship between collaboration and mobility?

# How does this relate to a nation's scientific and economic capacity?

#### **Dimensions of Connectivity**

Mobility...serves to both strengthen the scientific capacity of the countries and benefit the scientific careers of individual researchers (OECD 2008)

#### **Dimensions of Connectivity**

International collaboration plays an important role in fostering high-quality knowledge production (Royal Society 2011) Mobility...serves to both strengthen the scientific capacity of the countries and benefit the scientific careers of individual researchers (OECD 2008)

#### **Dimensions of Connectivity**

International collaboration plays an important role in fostering high-quality knowledge production (Royal Society 2011) Mobility...serves to both strengthen the scientific capacity of the countries and benefit the scientific careers of individual researchers (OECD 2008)

...mobility has been advocated as key to increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of research (Van Noorden, 2012: Scellato et al. 2015)

#### Many Institutions Believe

#### International partnerships are important

#### Such partnerships improve science

### **International Partnership**

• Traditionally, large-scale analyses have examined only *collaboration* **or** *mobility* 



## Well-studied "elite" countries



## **Understudied Periphery**



## Moving away from the elite

To understand the global system of science, we must move away from the "elite" and towards a new comprehensive analysis of international scientific partnership





#### Introduce new indicators and approaches



#### Introduce new indicators and approaches

# Demonstrate how they can help to understand global system of science

#### ESTABLISH A TERMINOLOGY

#### **International Partnerships**

Collaboration Co-Affiliation Migration

#### Collaboration



## Example: this paper!



## Other forms of mobility



#### International co-affiliation

# Holding more than one affiliation in two countries during a period of study





#### tO











.



## Linked by co-affiliation



### International Migration

# More permanent movements between affiliations in 2 or more countries

### tO



t1



#### t2



## Linked by migration



## Scientific Mobility

#### both co-affiliation and migration



## For a more thorough treatment

- Careers & Mobility (2)
- Thursday 7 Sept. 11:30 13:00 Session 222 Room 2
- Unveiling the multiple faces of mobility: Towards a taxonomy of scientific mobility types based on bibliometric data
- Nicolas Robinson-Garcia, Cassidy R. Sugimoto, Dakota Murray, Alfredo Yegros-Yegros, Vincent Larivière and Rodrigo Costas.

#### **COUNTRY-LEVEL CLASSIFICATIONS**

## Scientific capacity of nations

- Scientific Capacity is the ability to use specialized knowledge and exploit it to conduct research, (Wagner et al 2001).
- Investment, infrastructure, and output



#### Income level



(World Bank, 2016)

### **Research Questions**

- What is the **relationship** between the international mobility and collaboration of a country?
- What is the relationship between the number of collaborative and mobility links between countries?
- To what extent do these relationships vary by the scientific and economic capacity of the country?

#### DATA

#### Web of Science

#### 2008 - 2015

#### Web of Science

#### 2008 - 2015

#### First publication in 2008 – 2015

#### Web of Science

#### 2008 - 2015

#### First publication in 2008 – 2015


# Disambiguation

#### Caron and van Eck (2014)



# Disambiguation

#### Caron and van Eck (2014)

# WoS includes emails during starting 2008



## **Final Dataset**

#### 3,251,797



## **Final Dataset**









## **Final Dataset**





#### 14,097,939



#### **213 Countries**



Lili Miao

## **METHODS AND RESULTS**

## Total number of publications



STI Conference 2017

## Number of international publications



## Total number of active researchers



+5

## Number of mobile researchers



# Percentage of international collaboration





#### **#international pubs**

#### #all pubs

# Percentage of mobile researchers linked to other counties



**#mobile researchers** 

**#all researchers** 

# Number of countries linked in collaboration



### Number of countries linked in mobility



# Results (I)

An overview of collaboration and mobility patterns

#### Size matters. Strong size-dependence relationship



Correlation between percent of mobile authors and percent of internationally co-authored publications for (a) all countries, (c) more prolific countries and (d) less prolific countries

#### Size matters. Strong size-dependence relationship



Correlation between percent of mobile authors and percent of internationally co-authored publications for (a) all countries, (c) more prolific countries and (d) less prolific countries

#### Higher collaboration shares reach the fewer number of countries



Correlation between percent of mobile authors and percent of internationally co-authored publications for (a) all countries, and (b) number of countries with which a country has established mobility links by the number of countries with which that country has collaboration co-authored publications

#### Scientific relationships are highly resource-dependent

The smaller the country, the more dependence on connectivity

| S&T capacity  | %international | % authors in |
|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| index groups  | collaboration  | mobility     |
| Advanced      | 51.40          | 16.65        |
| Proficient    | 49.94          | 13.68        |
| Developing    | 61.89          | 19.81        |
| Lagging       | 81.85          | 34.55        |
| World average | 69.18          | 26.31        |

Mean average of mobile authors and international publications by group.

#### Scientific relationships are highly resource-dependent

The smaller the country, the more dependence on connectivity

| S&T capacity  | %international | % authors in |
|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| index groups  | collaboration  | mobility     |
| Advanced      | 51.40          | 16.65        |
| Proficient    | 49.94          | 13.68        |
| Developing    | 61.89          | 19.81        |
| Lagging       | 81.85          | 34.55        |
| World average | 69.18          | 26.31        |

Mean average of mobile authors and international publications by group.

# The number of countries with mobility is fe wer than collaborative partners

Mobile researchers in advanced countries reach 68 % of collaborative partners, whereas in lagging countries, they hardly reach 29%

| S&T capacity<br>index groups | # collaborators<br>countries | # mobility<br>countries | %<br>reaching<br>countries |  |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Advanced                     | 186.64                       | 126.41                  | 67.73                      |  |
| Proficient                   | 161.75                       | 79.71                   | 49.28                      |  |
| Developing                   | 139.86                       | 50.05                   | 35.78                      |  |
| Lagging                      | 125.40                       | 36.38                   | 29.01                      |  |
| World average                | 142.55                       | 58.82                   | 41.26                      |  |

#### Mean average of countries with mobile authors and international publications by group.

### Partnerships, preferences, or possibilities?

| MOBILITY   |          |            |            |         |        |       |
|------------|----------|------------|------------|---------|--------|-------|
|            | Advanced | Proficient | Developing | Lagging | Others | Total |
| Advanced   | 65.32    | 75.19      | 64.33      | 63.04   | 59.86  | 67.00 |
| Proficient | 22.01    | 14.58      | 17.74      | 12.86   | 13.21  | 19.61 |
| Developing | 5.54     | 5.22       | 6.36       | 9.13    | 6.13   | 5.77  |
| Lagging    | 6.29     | 4.38       | 10.57      | 13.32   | 11.75  | 6.68  |
| Others     | 0.84     | 0.63       | 0.99       | 1.66    | 9.40   | 0.94  |

| COLLABORATION |          |            |            |         |        |       |
|---------------|----------|------------|------------|---------|--------|-------|
|               | Advanced | Proficient | Developing | Lagging | Others | Total |
| Advanced      | 67.05    | 62.51      | 49.96      | 50.06   | 45.96  | 63.70 |
| Proficient    | 22.67    | 23.37      | 27.76      | 20.06   | 29.88  | 23.10 |
| Developing    | 4.93     | 7.55       | 10.26      | 10.93   | 10.91  | 6.29  |
| Lagging       | 4.39     | 4.85       | 9.71       | 16.73   | 9.33   | 5.59  |
| Others        | 0.96     | 1.72       | 2.31       | 2.22    | 3.94   | 1.33  |

#### Distributions of mobility and international collaboration by group.

# Results (II)

Group-specific analysis according to their scientific and technological capacities





















Dakota Murray

## **SUMMARY**

## Introduced indicators



# Collaboration related to mobility


#### But not the same





# Partnership preferences

| MOBILITY   |          |            |            |         |        |       |  |  |  |
|------------|----------|------------|------------|---------|--------|-------|--|--|--|
|            | Advanced | Proficient | Developing | Lagging | Others | Total |  |  |  |
| Advanced   | 65.32    | 75.19      | 64.33      | 63.04   | 59.86  | 67.00 |  |  |  |
| Proficient | 22.01    | 14.58      | 17.74      | 12.86   | 13.21  | 19.61 |  |  |  |
| Developing | 5.54     | 5.22       | 6.36       | 9.13    | 6.13   | 5.77  |  |  |  |
| Lagging    | 6.29     | 4.38       | 10.57      | 13.32   | 11.75  | 6.68  |  |  |  |
| Others     | 0.84     | 0.63       | 0.99       | 1.66    | 9.40   | 0.94  |  |  |  |

|            | Advanced | Proficient | Developing | Lagging | Others | Total |
|------------|----------|------------|------------|---------|--------|-------|
| Advanced   | 67.05    | 62.51      | 49.96      | 50.06   | 45.96  | 63.70 |
| Proficient | 22.67    | 23.37      | 27.76      | 20.06   | 29.88  | 23.10 |
| Developing | 4.93     | 7.55       | 10.26      | 10.93   | 10.91  | 6.29  |
| Lagging    | 4.39     | 4.85       | 9.71       | 16.73   | 9.33   | 5.59  |
| Others     | 0.96     | 1.72       | 2.31       | 2.22    | 3.94   | 1.33  |

# Heterogeneity of the "global brain"



### IMPLICATIONS

#### Not all partnerships are equal



## **Deviance from assumptions**



#### Heterogeneity



### Many perspectives needed



## **Revisiting classifications**



## LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORK

# Heterogeneity

- Preliminary, large-scale analysis
- No consideration of disciplinary context
- Size-dependent indicators



# Causality



 Mobility ≠ Collaboration, but they are related

- does mobility cause collaboration, or vice versa?
- Are the people who are mobile the same people who are collaborating?

Network analysis



Network analysis

Time dimension



Network analysis

Time dimension

**Diverse Indicators** 



Network analysis

Time dimension

**Diverse Indicators** 

**Mobility Flows** 



Network analysis

Time dimension

**Diverse Indicators** 

**Mobility Flows** 

Size-independent indicators

• Affinity Indices



## Acknowledgements

 Zaida Chinchilla-Rodríguez, Nicolás Robinson-García, Rodrigo Costas and Cassidy R. Sugimoto

• CWTS for insights and data

• STI 2017 Paris

A large-scale comparison of the position of countries in international collaboration and mobility according to their scientific capacities

> Thanks for your attention! Questions?

STI 2017, 6-8 September, Paris, France

